

# New Shores School Advisory Committee

## January 31, 2008 Minutes of Meeting

- A. ATTENDANCE:  
Representing Advisory Committee:  
Joe Foust, Heather Irwin, Pete Hughes, Bonnie Wolfe, Doug Crisman,  
Michelle Atno-Hall, Lawrence Pon, Scott Miron  
Representing Belmont-Redwood Shores School District:  
Nellie Hungerford, Assistant Superintendent; Joe DiGeronimo, Consultant;  
Catherine Bonnar, School District Trustee  
Representing Self  
Max Keech
- B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
- Meeting convened at 6:50 pm
  - Minutes of 1/10 meeting not reviewed.
- C. MEMBER REPORT/COMMENTS
- None provided.
- D. PUBLIC COMMENT
- No public comments provided
- E. DISTRICT UPDATE Nellie Hungerford, Asst Superintendent
- None discussed.
- F. DESIGN COMMITTEE DISCUSSION  
Teleconference with Kip Grubb, SKW Architects
- This topic was covered first as Kip Grubb was on teleconference.
  - Three schemes were handed out. These are a synthesis of 13 schemes which were boiled down from various options from the committee. Mr. Grubb summarized the key aspects of the three options. Committee asked questions as to specific details of each option.
  - The options shown will accommodate up to 425 students with use of “re-purposed” classrooms but excluding the C2 build-outs (assuming C2 is deferred until a later date and/or funding availability). The “re-purposed” rooms include three rooms: science, music, and media center.
  - Size of playing field was discussed. The playing field dimensions used assumes one compatible with a K-5 school. The acreage allocated for the school does not appear to support a “standard, dual-use” field. There are advantages for possible joint-use playing fields with Redwood City if playing field could be made large enough.
  - Pricing between the options is expected to be about the same for each one.
  - Process going forward: Civil Engineer to work on site lines this week, Design Committee meeting on 2/7 with Board meeting that same evening, Schematic design to be presented to the School Board on 3/6 with anticipated approval at that meeting. Design development and construction documents to follow.

G. COMMITTEE DISCUSSION – Doug Crisman, Committee Chair

- Scheme III was preferred by the NSSAC.
- It was suggested that one variation could be movement of the Multi-purpose to closer to the center. Some committee members felt this was preferable to current version of Scheme III.
- Admin building close to the parking lot was preferred by the Design Committee.
- Concern was raised as to possible sound from playground in front causing problems with neighbors across RWS Parkway.
- Desire was to maximize green space in front of school.

Peter Hughes motioned to recommend Scheme III as the preferred option. Joseph Foust seconded motion. Vote was unanimous (Note: Bonnie Wolfe and Michelle Atno-Hall were not present for the vote).

The following suggestions were submitted for consideration by the Architect and Design Committee:

- Possible relocation of MP Room to more centralized location
- Can Hard Court surface area be reconfigured to permit for possible larger grass areas? One option to consider is moving this area to the current location of C2 buildings.
- Improve parking lot flow as described by Kip Grubb
- Classrooms C2 may not be necessary so layout needs to take into account that this can be considered “expansion” space. Can C2 be moved from the back to possibly the side where the MP is currently located?
- The “re-purposed” rooms (Science, Media, Music) should be clearly identified as such.

Committee voted unanimously to support the above suggestions (note: Bonnie Wolfe and Michelle Atno-Hall were not present for the vote).

G. NEW BUSINESS

A NSSAC meeting will be scheduled for 2/28 to discuss the Schematic Design prior to the 3/6 Board Meeting. Nellie Hungerford will attempt to schedule the Board of Education for the 2/28 meeting.

H. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 10:00 pm. Next meeting will be 2/28.